TERMED

U.S. District Court District of Hawaii - CM/ECF V2.5 (11/05) (Hawaii) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:02-cv-00062-SPK-BMK

Resnick v. Sports Shinko (Kauai, et al Assigned to: JUDGE SAMUEL P KING Referred to: JUDGE BARRY M. KURREN

Demand: \$0

Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Other Contract

Plaintiff

David Resnick

doing business as Kauai Investment Partners Date Filed: 01/29/2002 Jury Demand: Both

Nature of Suit: 190 Contract: Other

Jurisdiction: Diversity

represented by Bruce L. Lamon

Goodsill Anderson Quinn & Stifel LLLP Alii Place 1099 Alakea St Ste 1800 Honolulu, HI 96813-2639 547-5600 Email: blamon@goodsill.com TERMINATED: 03/14/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Ellen Ann Cirangle

Goodsill Anderson Quinn & Stifel LLLP Alii Place 1099 Alakea St Ste 1800 Honolulu, HI 96813-2639 547-5600 TERMINATED: 03/14/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jerry H. Stein

Levin & Stein 12424 Wilshire Blvd. #1450 Los Angeles, CA 90025 (310)207-4663 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Ken T. Kuniyuki

1001 Bishop St Ste 2660 Pauahi Tower Honolulu, HI 96813-3429 524-4111



LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

Sports Shinko (Kauai) Co., Ltd. TERMINATED: 01/23/2003 doing business as Sports Shinko Kauai Development represented by Glenn T. Melchinger

Alston Hunt Floyd & Ing ASB Tower 1001 Bishop St Ste 1800 Honolulu, HI 96813 524-1800 Email: gtm@ahfi.com TERMINATED: 01/23/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

John T. Komeiji

Watanabe Ing Kawashima & Komeiji First Hawaiian Center 999 Bishop St 23rd Flr Honolulu, HI 96813 544-8300 TERMINATED: 01/23/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Nadine Y. Ando

McCorriston Miller Mukai MacKinnon LLP Five Waterfront Plaza Ste 400 500 Ala Moana Blvd Honolulu, HI 96813 529-7300 TERMINATED: 10/29/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Paul Alston

Alston Hunt Floyd & Ing ASB Tower 1001 Bishop St Ste 1800 Honolulu, HI 96813 524-1800 Email: palston@ahfi.com TERMINATED: 01/23/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Philip W. Miyoshi

McCorriston Miller Mukai MacKinnon LLP Five Waterfront Plaza Ste 400 500 Ala Moana Blvd Honolulu, HI 96813 529-7300 TERMINATED: 10/29/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Shelby Anne Floyd

Alston Hunt Floyd & Ing 65-1230 Mamalahoa Hwy Kamuela, HI 96743 808 885-6762 Email: sfloyd@ahfi.com TERMINATED: 01/23/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Dr. Satoshi Kinoshita
TERMINATED: 01/23/2003

represented by John T. Komeiji

(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 01/23/2003
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Nadine Y. Ando

(See above for address)

TERMINATED: 10/29/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Philip W. Miyoshi

(See above for address)

TERMINATED: 10/29/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
01/29/2002	1	COMPLAINT; exhibit a; demand for jury trial; summons (issued) (lmg) (Entered: 02/01/2002)
01/29/2002	2	ORDER by Judge David A. Ezra rule 16 scheduling conference set for 9:00 4/29/02 before Mag Judge Barry M. Kurren (lmg) (Entered: 02/01/2002)



四胞 阿林區 UNIVE STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF HAWA!

GOODSILL ANDERSON QUINN & STIFEL A Limited Liability Law Partnership LLP

BRUCE L. LAMON

2738-0

ELLEN A. CIRANGLE Alii Place, Suite 1800

7242-0

1099 Alakea Street

Honolulu, HI 96813 Telephone: 547-5600

Attorneys for Plaintiff DAVID RESNICK dba KAUAI INVESTMENT PARTNERS

FEB 011 2002 WALTER A. Y. H. CHINN, CLERK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

DAVID RESNICK dba KAUAI INVESTMENT PARTNERS,

CIVIL NO. 02-00062 HG BMK

Plaintiff,

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT; EXHIBIT A; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL; SUMMONS

VS.

SPORTS SHINKO (KAUAI) CO., LTD., dba SPORTS SHINKO KAUAI DEVELOPMENT; DR. SATOSHI KINOSHITA,

Defendants.

NO TRIAL DATE HAS BEEN SET

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

For its complaint against Defendant Sports Shinko (Kauai) Co., Ltd., dba Sports Shinko Kauai Development, and Dr. Satoshi Kinoshita, Plaintiff David Resnick dba Kauai Investment Partners alleges as follows:

Parties

- 1. Plaintiff David Resnick is a resident of Oregon doing business as Kauai Investment Partners (hereafter referred to as "KIP").
- 2. Defendant Sports Shinko (Kauai) Co., Ltd. (hereinaster referred to as "SSK") is a Hawaii corporation with its principal place of business within this judicial district. SSK does business as "Sports Shinko Kauai Development."
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Dr. Satoshi Kinoshita is a resident of Hawaii.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 4. The Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) because this action is between citizens of different states and because the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs.
- 5. Venue lies in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because all defendants reside within the State of Hawaii and within this judicial district, because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims herein occurred in this judicial district, and because the property that is the subject of this action is located within this judicial district.

Count I (Specific Performance)

- 6. On or about January 12, 2002, KIP entered into that certain Deposit Receipt Offer and Acceptance contract with SSK attached hereto Exhibit A ("DROA").
- 7. Under the DROA, KIP agreed to buy, and SSK agreed to sell, that certain real property known as Kiahuna Golf Properties, together with all improvements thereon, comprising State of Hawaii Tax Map Key Parcel Numbers: 4-2-8-14-7, 8, 28, 31, 32,33, 34, 35, 36, and 4-2-8-15-77 ("Kiahuna Golf Properties").

- 8. Among the improvements sold pursuant to the DROA were an 18-hole golf course, a pro shop, garage, restaurant and bathroom buildings.
 - 9. The purchase price of the Kiahuna Golf Properties was \$10,000,000.00.
- 10. On or about January 16, 2002, SSK, by and through its officer, director and agent, Dr. Satoshi Kinoshita, repudiated and/or anticipatorily breached the DROA by refusing to proceed with the sale of the Kiahuna Golf Properties to KIP.
 - 11. KIP has duly and faithfully performed each of its obligations under the DROA.
 - 12. KIP is ready, willing and able to perform each of its obligations under the DROA.

Count II (Breach of Contract)

- 13. KIP repeats and realleges the allegations of Paragraphs 1-12 hereof as though set forth in full herein except to the extent inconsistent herewith.
- 14. As a proximate result of SSK's breach of the DROA, KIP has suffered reasonably foreseeable damages in amounts which shall be proven at trial.

Count III (Breach of the Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)

- 15. KIP repeats and realleges the allegations of Paragraphs 1-14 hereof as though set forth in full herein except to the extent inconsistent herewith.
 - 16. The DROA includes an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
- 17. SSK, by its actions alleged above, has breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
- 18. As a proximate result of SSK's breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, KIP has suffered reasonably foreseeable damages in amounts which shall be proven at trial.

Count IV (Fraud)

- 19. KIP repeats and realleges the allegations of Paragraphs 1-18 hereof as though set forth in full herein except to the extent inconsistent herewith.
- 20. On or about January 12, 2002, Defendant Dr. Satoshi Kinoshita (Kinoshita) accepted, on behalf of SSK, KIP's offer to purchase the Kiahuna Golf Course Properties, and promised SSK would sell that property to KIP.
- 21. Upon information and belief, on January 15, 2002, SSK entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement to sell the Kiahuna Golf Course Properties to a third party or third parties, and on January 25, 2002, SSK sold the Kiahuna Golf Course Properties to a third party or third parties.
- 22. Kinoshita and SSK knew but failed to disclose to KIP that SSK was negotiating to sell, had entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement to sell, and did sell the Kiahuna Golf Course Properties to a third-party or third parties.
 - 23. Kinoshita and SSK knew that such information would be material to KIP.
- 24. Kinoshita's and SSK's failure to disclose such information proximately resulted in KIP: entering into the DROA; agreeing to, at Kinoshita's request, date the DROA to reflect a later date than the actual date of SSK's acceptance; spending monies in anticipation of purchasing the Kiahuna Golf Course Properties; and failing to act to preserve its right to purchase the property prior to SSK's sale of the property to third-parties.
- 25. Kinoshita and SSK failed to disclose the information because they knew that their failure to disclose such information would result in KIP's actions/failures to act set forth in paragraph 24.

- 25. Kinoshita and SSK's actions were taken wantonly or oppressively or with such malice as implies a spirit of mischief or criminal indifference to civil obligations, and/or willfully and with that entire want of care which would raise the presumption of a conscious indifference to consequences.
- 26. As a result of Defendant Kinoshita's actions, KIP has been damaged in amounts to be proven at trial.

Count V (Negligence/Gross Negligence)

- 27. KIP repeats and realleges the allegations of Paragraphs 1-26 hereof as though set forth in full herein except to the extent inconsistent herewith.
- 29. SSK and Kinoshita were grossly negligent or negligent in failing to disclose to KIP that SSK was negotiating to sell, had entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement to sell, and did sell the Kiahuna Golf Course Properties to a third-party or third parties.
- 30. As a result of SSK's and Kinoshita's negligence, KIP has been damaged in amounts to be proven at trial.

Count VI (Reformation)

- 31. KIP repeats and realleges the allegations of Paragraphs 1-30 hereof as though set forth in full herein except to the extent inconsistent herewith.
- 32. The DROA at certain points gives the dates of certain signatures and initials as having been given or executed in the future, e.g., on February 15, 2002 and/or on February 16, 2002.
- 33. If, as, and to the extent the Court determines that the DROA is or may be unenforceable if these dates are taken as correct, KIP is entitled to reformation of the DROA to reflect the actual date of execution of the DROA, January 12, 2002.

Count VII (Constructive Trust)

- 34. KIP repeats and realleges the allegations of Paragraphs 1-33 hereof as though set forth in full herein except to the extent inconsistent herewith.
- 35. Upon information and belief, SSK is in the process of selling or has sold some or all of the Kiahuna Golf Properties to a third party.
- 36. In the event SSK receives payment for such sale, SSK will be unjustly enriched by the portion of the sales proceeds attributable to the Kiahuna Golf Properties at the expense of KIP because such property justly belongs to KIP.
- 37. KIP requests the Court place the portion of the sales proceeds attributable to the Kiahuna Golf Properties in a constructive trust in favor of KIP.

WHEREFORE, KIP prays for an order requiring SSK to specifically perform its obligations under the DROA, including its obligation to convey Kiahuna Golf Properties to KIP upon the timely performance of KIP's remaining obligations thereunder, if any, and payment of the purchase price; for an award of damages general, special, actual, consequential, incidental and other damages in amounts which shall be proven at trial; for reformation of the DROA as and if necessary to reflect the true dates of execution; for an imposition of a constructive trust upon any funds received by SSK for sale of the Kiahuna Golf Properties; for punitive damages; for an award of fees, costs, and other expenses of litigation to the full extent permitted under the

DROA and applicable law; pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, February 1, 2002.

BRUCE L. JAMON ELLEN A. CIRANGLE

Attorneys for Plaintiff
DAVID RESNICK
dba KAUAI INVESTMENT PARTNERS

EXHIBIT A

United States District Court

DISTRICT OF -

DAVID RESNICK dba KAUAI INVESTMENT PARTNERS

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

٧.

CASE NUMBER: CV02 00062 HG BMK

SPORTS SHINKO (KAUAI) CO., LTD., dba SPORTS SHINKO KAUAI DEVELOPMENT: DR. SATOSHE KINOSHITA

TO: (Name and Address of Defendant) SPORTS SHINKO (KAUAI) CO., LTD. dba SPORTS SHINKO KAUAI DEVELOPMENT 175 Paoakalani Avenue, #300 Honolulu, HI 96815

DR. SATOSHI KINOSHITA c/o SPORTS SHINKO (KAUAI) CO., LTD. dba SPORTS SHINKO KAUAI DEVELOPMENT 175 Paoakalani Avenue, #300 Honolulu, HI 96815

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to file with the Clerk of this Court and serve upon

PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)

BRUCE L. LAMON, ESQ. 1099 Alakea Street Suite 1800 Honolulu, HI 96813 Telephone No.: 547-5600

an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within ______20 __ days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

This summons shall not be personally delivered between 10:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. on premises not open to the general public, unless a judge of the above-entitled court permits, in writing on this summons, personal delivery during those hours.

A failure to obey this summons may result in an entry of default and default judgment against the disobeying person or party.

FEB 0 1 2002 DATE

ORIGINAL

BY DEPUTY CLERK